

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,517	SADRE, MAMOUD
	Examiner Dave Robertson	Art Unit 2121

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Dave Robertson, Examiner 2121. *D.R.* (3) Hani Kazimi, Primary 3691. *H.K.*  
 (2) Dr. Mamoud Sadre (Applicant, Pro se). *M* (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2010.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

- ① Discussed Invention / Inventive Concept(s) generally.
- ② Discussed prior work / limited development of software products.
- ③ Discussed prior prosecution, early restriction and course of prosecution in this and related cases.
- ④ Discussed Process steps major, and aspects of each step which may be particularly advantageous or distinguishing.
- ⑤ Discussed next steps, including <sup>Agreement for Examiner to Propose</sup> ~~Claims drafting and approaches~~ <sup>per</sup> for further search and consideration.

/Dave Robertson/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2121

*D.R.*